

6331 - Algorithms, Spring 2014, CSE, OSU
Lecture 1: Introduction, complexity of
algorithms, asymptotic growth of functions

Instructor: Anastasios Sidiropoulos

January 8, 2014

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.
- ▶ Networks: Routing / communication algorithms.

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.
- ▶ Networks: Routing / communication algorithms.
- ▶ Operating systems: Scheduling, paging, etc.

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.
- ▶ Networks: Routing / communication algorithms.
- ▶ Operating systems: Scheduling, paging, etc.
- ▶ AI: Learning algorithms, etc.

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.
- ▶ Networks: Routing / communication algorithms.
- ▶ Operating systems: Scheduling, paging, etc.
- ▶ AI: Learning algorithms, etc.
- ▶ Graphics: Rendering algorithms.

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.
- ▶ Networks: Routing / communication algorithms.
- ▶ Operating systems: Scheduling, paging, etc.
- ▶ AI: Learning algorithms, etc.
- ▶ Graphics: Rendering algorithms.
- ▶ Robotics: Motion planning / control algorithms, etc.

Why algorithms?

Algorithms are at the core of Computer Science

- ▶ Data bases: Data structures.
- ▶ Networks: Routing / communication algorithms.
- ▶ Operating systems: Scheduling, paging, etc.
- ▶ AI: Learning algorithms, etc.
- ▶ Graphics: Rendering algorithms.
- ▶ Robotics: Motion planning / control algorithms, etc.
- ▶ Game development

Algorithms beyond Computer Science

Algorithms are a transformative force in Science & Engineering.

Algorithms beyond Computer Science

Algorithms are a transformative force in Science & Engineering.

- ▶ Algorithms for processing complicated data.

Algorithms beyond Computer Science

Algorithms are a transformative force in Science & Engineering.

- ▶ Algorithms for processing complicated data.
- ▶ Computational Biology.

Algorithms beyond Computer Science

Algorithms are a transformative force in Science & Engineering.

- ▶ Algorithms for processing complicated data.
- ▶ Computational Biology.
- ▶ Medicine: drug design / delivery.

Algorithms beyond Computer Science

Algorithms are a transformative force in Science & Engineering.

- ▶ Algorithms for processing complicated data.
- ▶ Computational Biology.
- ▶ Medicine: drug design / delivery.
- ▶ Sociology / Economics: Algorithmic game theory.

Algorithms beyond Computer Science

Algorithms are a transformative force in Science & Engineering.

- ▶ Algorithms for processing complicated data.
- ▶ Computational Biology.
- ▶ Medicine: drug design / delivery.
- ▶ Sociology / Economics: Algorithmic game theory.
- ▶ ...

What makes a good algorithm?

How can we *quantify* the performance of an algorithm?

What makes a good algorithm?

How can we *quantify* the performance of an algorithm?

Computational resources

- ▶ Time complexity: How much time does an algorithm need to terminate?

What makes a good algorithm?

How can we *quantify* the performance of an algorithm?

Computational resources

- ▶ Time complexity: How much time does an algorithm need to terminate?
- ▶ Space complexity: How much memory does an algorithm require?

What makes a good algorithm?

How can we *quantify* the performance of an algorithm?

Computational resources

- ▶ Time complexity: How much time does an algorithm need to terminate?
- ▶ Space complexity: How much memory does an algorithm require?
- ▶ In other contexts, we might also be interested in different parameters.

What makes a good algorithm?

How can we *quantify* the performance of an algorithm?

Computational resources

- ▶ Time complexity: How much time does an algorithm need to terminate?
- ▶ Space complexity: How much memory does an algorithm require?
- ▶ In other contexts, we might also be interested in different parameters.
 - ▶ Communication complexity (i.e. the total amount of bits exchanged in a system).

What makes a good algorithm?

How can we *quantify* the performance of an algorithm?

Computational resources

- ▶ Time complexity: How much time does an algorithm need to terminate?
- ▶ Space complexity: How much memory does an algorithm require?
- ▶ In other contexts, we might also be interested in different parameters.
 - ▶ Communication complexity (i.e. the total amount of bits exchanged in a system).
 - ▶ Waiting / service time (e.g. in queuing systems).

Worst-case complexity

The *worst-case time complexity*, or *worst-case running time* of an algorithm is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where

$f(n)$ = maximum # of steps required on any input of size n

Worst-case complexity

The *worst-case time complexity*, or *worst-case running time* of an algorithm is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where

$f(n) =$ maximum # of steps required on any input of size n

More precisely:

For any input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let

$T(x) =$ # of steps required on input x

Worst-case complexity

The *worst-case time complexity*, or *worst-case running time* of an algorithm is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where

$f(n)$ = maximum # of steps required on any input of size n

More precisely:

For any input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let

$T(x)$ = # of steps required on input x

Then,

$$f(n) = \max_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} T(x)$$

Example of worst-case complexity

Finding an element in an array.

Example of worst-case complexity

Finding an element in an array.

Input: integer array $A[1 \dots n]$, and integer x .

Example of worst-case complexity

Finding an element in an array.

Input: integer array $A[1 \dots n]$, and integer x .

Find some i , if one exists, such that $A[i] = x$.

Example of worst-case complexity

Finding an element in an array.

Input: integer array $A[1 \dots n]$, and integer x .

Find some i , if one exists, such that $A[i] = x$.

Algorithm

for $i = 1$ to n

 if $A[i] = x$ output i , and terminate

end

output “not found”

Example of worst-case complexity

Finding an element in an array.

Input: integer array $A[1 \dots n]$, and integer x .

Find some i , if one exists, such that $A[i] = x$.

Algorithm

for $i = 1$ to n

 if $A[i] = x$ output i , and terminate

end

output “not found”

What is the worst-case time complexity of this algorithm?

Example of worst-case complexity

Finding an element in an array.

Input: integer array $A[1 \dots n]$, and integer x .

Find some i , if one exists, such that $A[i] = x$.

Algorithm

for $i = 1$ to n

 if $A[i] = x$ output i , and terminate

end

output “not found”

What is the worst-case time complexity of this algorithm?

What is the best possible time complexity?

How do we compare different functions?

We will mostly deal with non-decreasing functions.

How do we compare different functions?

We will mostly deal with non-decreasing functions.

In general, we cannot compare functions the same way we compare numbers.

How do we compare different functions?

We will mostly deal with non-decreasing functions.

In general, we cannot compare functions the same way we compare numbers.

E.g., n^2 vs $1000000n$. Which one is “smaller”?

O -notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$
 $0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

O-notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$
 $0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

E.g.

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 \in O(n^2)$$

O-notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$
 $0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

E.g.

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 \in O(n^2)$$

We write

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 = O(n^2)$$

O-notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

E.g.

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 \in O(n^2)$$

We write

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 = O(n^2)$$

Examples:

- ▶ n^2 vs $1000000n$?

O-notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

E.g.

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 \in O(n^2)$$

We write

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 = O(n^2)$$

Examples:

- ▶ n^2 vs $1000000n$?
- ▶ n^{100} vs 2^n ?

O-notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

E.g.

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 \in O(n^2)$$

We write

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 = O(n^2)$$

Examples:

- ▶ n^2 vs $1000000n$?
- ▶ n^{100} vs 2^n ?
- ▶ $n^{\log n}$ vs 2^n ?

O-notation

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

E.g.

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 \in O(n^2)$$

We write

$$10n^2 + 5n - 100 = O(n^2)$$

Examples:

- ▶ n^2 vs $1000000n$?
- ▶ n^{100} vs 2^n ?
- ▶ $n^{\log n}$ vs 2^n ?
- ▶ 2^{2^n} vs 2^n ?

Ω -notation

$\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

Theorem

$f(n) = O(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$.

Ω -notation

$\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exists positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that}$
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

Theorem

$f(n) = O(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$.

Question: Suppose that $f(n) = \Omega(n)$. Does this imply that $f(n)$ is increasing?

Θ -notation

$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if both of the following hold:

- ▶ $f(n) = O(g(n))$
- ▶ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

Θ -notation

$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if both of the following hold:

- ▶ $f(n) = O(g(n))$
- ▶ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

Examples:

- ▶ $n^2 + n + 5$ vs $100n^2 + 5n + 3$?

Θ -notation

$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if both of the following hold:

- ▶ $f(n) = O(g(n))$
- ▶ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

Examples:

- ▶ $n^2 + n + 5$ vs $100n^2 + 5n + 3$?
- ▶ $n \cdot \log n$ vs $n^{1.0001}$?

o-notation

$o(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

o -notation

$o(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0\}$

If $f(n) = o(g(n))$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$$

o -notation

$o(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0\}$

If $f(n) = o(g(n))$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$$

Examples:

▶ $100n = o(n^2)$

o -notation

$o(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0\}$

If $f(n) = o(g(n))$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$$

Examples:

- ▶ $100n = o(n^2)$
- ▶ $n^2 \neq o(n^2)$

ω -notation

$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

ω -notation

$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

$f(n) = o(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \omega(f(n))$.

ω -notation

$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

$f(n) = o(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \omega(f(n))$.

Examples:

- ▶ 2^n vs n^{10} ?

ω -notation

$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

$f(n) = o(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \omega(f(n))$.

Examples:

- ▶ 2^n vs n^{10} ?
- ▶ n vs $n \cdot \log n$?

ω -notation

$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0,$
there exists a constant n_0 such that
 $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

$f(n) = o(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \omega(f(n))$.

Examples:

- ▶ 2^n vs n^{10} ?
- ▶ n vs $n \cdot \log n$?
- ▶ $\log(n)$ vs $\log(\log(n))$?