

6331 - Algorithms, Spring 2014, CSE, OSU

Lecture 3: Quicksort

Instructor: Anastasios Sidiropoulos

January 13, 2014

Sorting

Given an array of integers $A[1 \dots n]$, rearrange its elements so that

$$A[1] \leq A[2] \leq \dots \leq A[n].$$

Quicksort

Quicksort(A, p, r)

 if $p < r$

$q = \text{Partition}(A, p, r)$

 Quicksort($A, p, q - 1$)

 Quicksort($A, q + 1, r$)

Partition

Partition(A, p, r)

$x = A[r]$

$i = p - 1$

for $j = p$ to $r - 1$

 if $A[j] \leq x$

$i = i + 1$

 exchange $A[i]$ with $A[j]$

exchange $A[i + 1]$ with $A[r]$

return $i + 1$

Partition

```
Partition( $A, p, r$ )  
   $x = A[r]$   
   $i = p - 1$   
  for  $j = p$  to  $r - 1$   
    if  $A[j] \leq x$   
       $i = i + 1$   
      exchange  $A[i]$  with  $A[j]$   
  exchange  $A[i + 1]$  with  $A[r]$   
  return  $i + 1$ 
```

What is the running time of the procedure Partition?

Invariants of Partition

- ▶ If $p \leq k \leq i$, then $A[k] \leq x$.
- ▶ If $i + 1 \leq k \leq j - 1$, then $A[k] > x$.
- ▶ If $k = r$, then $A[k] = x$.

Invariants of Partition

- ▶ If $p \leq k \leq i$, then $A[k] \leq x$.
- ▶ If $i + 1 \leq k \leq j - 1$, then $A[k] > x$.
- ▶ If $k = r$, then $A[k] = x$.

What does the procedure Partition do?

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Lower bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Lower bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

Unbalanced partition.

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Lower bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

Unbalanced partition.

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &\geq T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) \\ &= T(n-1) + \Theta(n) \\ &= \Omega(n^2)\end{aligned}$$

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Upper bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

$$T(n) = \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} T(q) + T(n - q - 1) + \Theta(n)$$

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Upper bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

$$T(n) = \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} T(q) + T(n - q - 1) + \Theta(n)$$

We guess $T(n) \leq c \cdot n^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (cq^2 + c(n - q - 1)^2) + \Theta(n) \\ &= c \cdot \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (q^2 + (n - q - 1)^2) + \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Upper bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

$$T(n) = \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} T(q) + T(n - q - 1) + \Theta(n)$$

We guess $T(n) \leq c \cdot n^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (cq^2 + c(n - q - 1)^2) + \Theta(n) \\ &= c \cdot \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (q^2 + (n - q - 1)^2) + \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

We have $\max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (q^2 + (n - q - 1)^2) \leq (n - 1)^2$.

Worst-case performance of Quicksort

Upper bound on the worst-case performance of Quicksort?

$$T(n) = \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} T(q) + T(n - q - 1) + \Theta(n)$$

We guess $T(n) \leq c \cdot n^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (cq^2 + c(n - q - 1)^2) + \Theta(n) \\ &= c \cdot \max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (q^2 + (n - q - 1)^2) + \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

We have $\max_{0 \leq q \leq n-1} (q^2 + (n - q - 1)^2) \leq (n - 1)^2$.

$$T(n) \leq cn^2 - c(2n - 1) + \Theta(n) \leq cn^2,$$

for c a large enough constant. Thus, $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$.

Performance of Quicksort

What happens when all the elements of A are equal?

Performance of Quicksort

What happens when all the elements of A are equal?

For the rest of the lecture, we will assume that all elements are distinct.

Randomized Quicksort

Pick the pivot *randomly*.

Randomized Quicksort

Pick the pivot *randomly*.

Why would that make any difference?

Randomized Quicksort

Pick the pivot *randomly*.

Why would that make any difference?

Is this the same as average-case analysis?

Randomized algorithms vs random input

The average running time on an algorithm for some **distribution** over inputs, analysis is *not* the same as the expected running time of a randomized algorithm over an **arbitrary** input.

Randomized algorithms vs random input

The average running time on an algorithm for some **distribution** over inputs, analysis is *not* the same as the expected running time of a randomized algorithm over an **arbitrary** input.

Examples?

Randomized Quicksort

Randomized-Partition(A, p, r)

$i = \text{Random}(p, r)$

exchange $A[i]$ with $A[p]$

return Partition(A, p, r)

Randomized Quicksort

Randomized-Partition(A, p, r)

$i = \text{Random}(p, r)$

exchange $A[i]$ with $A[r]$

return Partition(A, p, r)

Randomized-Quicksort(A, p, r)

if $p < r$

$q = \text{Randomized-Partition}(A, p, r)$

Randomized-Quicksort($A, p, q - 1$)

Randomized-Quicksort($A, q + 1, r$)

Randomized Quicksort

Randomized-Partition(A, p, r)

$i = \text{Random}(p, r)$

exchange $A[i]$ with $A[r]$

return Partition(A, p, r)

Randomized-Quicksort(A, p, r)

if $p < r$

$q = \text{Randomized-Partition}(A, p, r)$

Randomized-Quicksort($A, p, q - 1$)

Randomized-Quicksort($A, q + 1, r$)

What is the running time of the procedure Randomized-Partition?

Expected running time of Randomized-Quicksort

Suppose the elements in A are z_1, \dots, z_n , with

$$z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_n.$$

Expected running time of Randomized-Quicksort

The running time is dominated by the number of comparisons.

Expected running time of Randomized-Quicksort

The running time is dominated by the number of comparisons.
Consider the indicator variable

$$X_{ij} = I\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\}$$

Expected running time of Randomized-Quicksort

The running time is dominated by the number of comparisons.
Consider the indicator variable

$$X_{ij} = I\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\}$$

The total number of comparisons is

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n X_{ij}$$

Expected running time of Randomized-Quicksort

The expected running time is

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n X_{ij} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n E[X_{ij}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\} \end{aligned}$$

The probability of a comparison

Suppose $i < j$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\} &= \Pr\{z_i \text{ or } z_j \text{ is the first pivot in } \{z_i, \dots, z_j\}\} \\ &\leq \Pr\{z_i \text{ is the first pivot in } \{z_i, \dots, z_j\}\} \\ &\quad + \Pr\{z_j \text{ is the first pivot in } \{z_i, \dots, z_j\}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{j-i+1} + \frac{1}{j-1+1} \\ &= \frac{2}{j-i+1}\end{aligned}$$

Expected running time of Randomized-Quicksort

The expected running time is

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \frac{2}{j-i+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \frac{2}{k+1} \\ &= O(n \cdot \log n) \end{aligned}$$